



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,577	07/30/2003	Ramzi F. Abulhaj	DDI-1/DIV II/USA	6468
7	7590 03/30/2005 EXAMINER		INER ·	
RAMZI F. ABLHAJ			THALER, MICHAEL H	
VITAL CARE GROUP, INC. 8935 NORTHWEST 27TH STREET MIAMI, FL 33172			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\checkmark	Υ
υ	,

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/629,577	ABULHAJ ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Michael Thaler	3731				
ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(ş) <u>8-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
r election requirement.					
ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P1O-152.				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
of the certified copies not receive	d.				
	ate latent Application (PTO-152)				
	Examiner Michael Thaler Pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The informalities in the specification and drawings noted in the parent applications should be corrected.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 8, lines 1-2, it is unclear if the lancet or the lancet device has "a body". The preamble of the claim (i.e. the portion of the claim before "comprising") includes several elements such as a needle cover which are not in the body of the claim (i.e. the portion of the claim after "comprising"), making it unclear whether they are part of the claimed combination or not.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior

Art Unit: 3731

art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higgins (3,358,689) in view of Cloyd et al. (3,330,004). Higgins discloses needle or blade 20, needle cover 30 and plastic body 11 having opposed ports 16 (Ports 16 are "opposed" since they are on opposite sides of the body 11.) revealing the body portion of the needle or blade 20. Higgins fails to disclose an anchor on the needle or blade. However, Cloyd et al. teach that a needle should have an anchor (bend 6 or 30) in order to obtain the advantage of anchoring it into its plastic supporting hub 3 to prevent inadvertent therefrom (col. 1, lines 27-28 and col. 2, lines 9-13). would have been obvious to include an anchor on the Higgins needle or blade 20 to anchor it in its plastic supporting body 11 so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 9, the Cloyd et al. anchor 30 includes a substantially perpendicular bend (from a point on the anchor 30 at the end of the lead line for reference numeral 30 to a point at the end of lead line for reference numeral 19 as shown in figure 4).

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple

Art Unit: 3731

assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 8-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,589,261 and claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,111. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the slight difference in the wording of the claims involves only an obvious difference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,577

Art Unit: 3731

mht 3/24/05 MICHAEL THALER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3731

Page 5